



BY BOB VANDEPOL & CAL BEYER

CRISIS MANAGEMENT: *The Critical Human Element*



Almost 15 people die at work every day. Three of them are in the construction industry.¹ Sadly, since this article first appeared in 2009, the year-end numbers have remained virtually unchanged. Construction's increasing complexity demands that construction leaders (including CFMs) deploy new risk management strategies and tactics. Unfortunately, despite these efforts, unanticipated emergencies and disasters occur daily in our industry.

A new trend has also emerged: 2019 marked the seventh consecutive year of unintentional overdoses due to non-medical use of drugs or alcohol in the workplace in all industries (not exclusive to the construction industry).² This is similar for the 307 suicides that occurred in all workplaces.³

Construction risk management is a specialized body of knowledge, techniques, tools, and resources focused on the identification, planning, and implementation of controls to prevent unanticipated events from happening in the first place; or to prevent the total disruption of a contractor's operations should such an event occur.

In addition to their human toll, organizational crises are disruptive to both corporate business and project operations. Productivity, quality, risk, safety, profitability, and other key performance measures are adversely affected by such events.

That is why risk management can be further defined as: "The conservation of an organization's human and financial resources."

A CRISIS DEFINED

A crisis is the turning point in an unanticipated event – the point at which the outcome of an emergency or disaster turns either better or worse. Remember that during a crisis, it's more likely to be "*business as unusual*" rather than "*business as usual*."

Whereas risk management is traditionally a *proactive* discipline, crisis management is *reactive*. Crisis management can be viewed as a specialized discipline within risk management, where specific practices are instituted in response to unanticipated events that threaten a company's stability. Having an effective plan and resources in place mitigates the destructive nature of that reactivity.

Crisis management is one of several interrelated core disciplines comprising enterprise risk management, along with emergency preparedness, disaster response, business continuity planning, supply chain risk mitigation, and cyber liability prevention. Crisis management practices can help lessen the magnitude of emergencies and disasters, while decreasing the uncertainty and anxiety associated with these events.

THE IMPACT OF A WORKPLACE TRAGEDY

Every day, construction workers leave home for work unaware that their next shift may involve a traumatic event, perhaps with life and death consequences. Such tragedies affect employee and staff health, safety, wellbeing, and morale.

Here are some representative workplace crises that can cause emotional trauma:

- Workplace fatalities
- Multiple-injury accidents

- Tragic injuries with graphic wounds or agonizing pain and suffering, where survivors are shocked, stressed, or traumatized by what they witnessed
- “Near death” incidents (such as structural collapses, explosions, employees suspended from fall arrest systems, excavation cave-ins, and confined space entry rescues)
- Crashes that result in injuries or fatalities
- Workplace violence (which could be among coworkers or a case of domestic abuse spilling over into the workplace)⁴
- Suicide of an employee or their family member, an employee of a subcontractor, or other business partner⁵

Employees can also be adversely affected by other tragedies, such as the loss of a coworker due to a heart attack or other natural causes. Another example is coping with the loss of a coworker's loved one. In fact, many crisis management professionals report that one of the hardest experiences for employees to endure is the loss of a coworker's child.

HUMAN & ORGANIZATIONAL CONSEQUENCES OF A WORKPLACE CRISIS

In the midst of a traumatic event, construction leaders face not only the obvious human loss, but also increased exposure to significant financial loss. Exhibit 1 summarizes some potential human and financial consequences of a workplace crisis.

Exhibit 1: Human & Financial Consequences of a Workplace Crisis

Human Consequences	Financial Consequences
Increased absenteeism	Workforce turnover
Diminished concentration and accuracy, resulting in lost productivity, error, and rework	Increased exposure to workers' comp claims
Pursuit of medical, psychiatric, and legal options	Recruiting challenges
Protracted medical treatment for “unrelated” ailments	Unmet customer service needs
Increased conflict among employees	Negative image and damaged corporate reputation
Fear and anxiety among employees	Inability to meet contracted deadlines
Increased use of alcohol and drugs	Litigation

Following a catastrophe, an “us vs. them” mindset is a common dynamic within work groups. The company (or boss) is often blamed for problems related (and unrelated) to the tragic event.

Workplace tragedies can create pivotal turning points for companies and work teams. Some construction leaders relate how traumatic events have actually launched a new sense of loyalty, team cohesion, and commitment to safe work practices in their companies. Others bemoan a catastrophe that produced increased conflict, distrust of leadership, and a collective negative image.

The bottom line: Depending on your company's response, you and other leaders will either create a sense of “*We will never let that happen again,*” or “*This company will never be the same again.*”



HUMAN REACTIONS TO A CRISIS

In a time of tragedy, the affected workers may be grateful for their own physical safety; however, the psychological outcomes of such events can be extremely difficult for the work group as a whole. *Workers do not need to be injured physically to be injured psychologically.*

When impacted by tragedy, most people experience a flood of biological and neurological changes that overwhelm their normal coping mechanisms. A very predictable set of physical, mental, emotional, and behavioral reactions result.

Although many of these reactions have survival value during a crisis (like a soldier with heightened vigilance in a combat zone), they can also severely impair normal work and life productivity. Judgments about safety, attention to quality, and the ability to meet crucial deadlines are all in jeopardy.

So, in the midst of addressing various technological, operational, and logistical issues in the aftermath of a tragedy, it is also advisable to pay special attention to the human needs of your affected employees during and after a crisis.

Exhibit 2: Timing Is Everything – Your Response Must Be Phase-Sensitive

The critical incident response (CIR) specialists leading the crisis response process are there to help individuals and companies transition through several predictable phases. Sequencing is crucial, so response phases should focus on the following transitions that will likely occur:

From Deprivation to Access to Basic Resources

Asking someone how they feel when they lack food, clothing, and shelter does not empower them and will understandably add to their frustration. First, ensure access to safety and basic resources. Be practical.

From Isolation to Connectivity

People tend to isolate after a crisis, whether by trying to avoid the stimuli related to the event or due to “feeling like a unique species” when impacted by traumatic stress. Connecting to natural social supports and professional resources helps counter this tendency.

The work team is often the best resource for social support because members shared the incident and understand the experience better than family and friends. Also, employees are more likely to “get it.” In fact, CIR specialists often gather work groups together to build cohesiveness and enhance opportunities for mutual support.

From Chaos to Order

Crises produce external and internal chaos. People and teams find it helpful when they transition from chaos to a predictable structure. Timely information, resumption of typical schedules, and prompt return to familiar tasks help recreate a sense of order.

Pertinent information also creates understanding and reduces anxiety, and should be shared by the company’s leaders as soon as possible. Crises force people into situations and feelings that are unfamiliar and uncomfortable. When affected employees are able to get back to familiar schedules and tasks, they tend to bounce back quickly and more effectively.

From Powerlessness to Efficacy

When we’ve adapted to what has happened and are able to function again, our feelings of powerlessness/helplessness are replaced with efficacy, confidence, and hope.

Focusing on what can be accomplished is crucial. Many employees will want to immediately return to business as usual; others may require a transition period during which they perform concrete, productive tasks not closely associated with the tragedy. However, extended time away is counter-indicated in the vast majority of situations.

From Victim to Survivor

As the immediate impact shifts in intensity, people begin to attribute meaning to the incident and integrate it within their world view. A self-definition as a “survivor” is certainly more life-giving than seeing oneself as a perpetual “victim.”

Company leaders can influence this process by recognizing that the vast majority of people who experience a crisis respond well to psychological first aid and return to full productivity. Communicating an expectation of recovery supports resilience, just as communicating an expectation of pathology or disability actually contributes to those outcomes.

The Human Element

To illustrate the importance of the human element, let's review how people usually behave when traumatized.

- 1) We regress to more basic, primitive impulses and defenses.
 - The brain is recircuited to focus on creating an immediate sense of safety. However, these new thought patterns are not necessarily logical, since the portions of the brain dealing with advanced abstract thought are “put on hold.”
 - Decisions tend to be impulsive, extreme, and emotional (rather than logical).
 - Emotional responses are magnified and self-protective.
- 2) We immediately attempt to make sense of the incident in an effort to gain a feeling of control over it.
 - We need to create an answer to the “why” of what happened, even when one isn't readily available.
 - We believe that if we can just understand the incident, then we can prevent its reoccurrence.
 - Our understanding of the incident is likely to be reactive and lack objectivity.
- 3) We isolate from others.
 - The lack of control experienced in tragedy leads people to pull away from others in distrust.

Add these factors together and conditions are ripe for hostility and blame directed toward the most convenient targets – the company's leadership. Following a tragedy, the allegations of blame need not be accurate in order to be destructive to specific work groups and the company as a whole.

LEADERSHIP DURING A CRISIS

Due to these factors, you and other leaders must respond immediately and effectively during a crisis. Why? Because how you handle the first hour after a tragedy offers both tremendous opportunity and serious risk for your management relationships and outcomes.

Don't kid yourself: The tragedy and its aftermath will not go away if ignored. Your work groups will react – with or without leadership involvement. If ignored, your employees will feel as though insult has been added to injury, and feelings of betrayal will further fuel the likelihood of blame.

Your employees will watch you very carefully as they make decisions about their own reactions. Everyone in the room – whether tearful, hostile, or numb – will be focused on you, and will immediately make judgments about whether or not the company cares, and whether or not you and the other leaders are in control.

Therefore, you must be prepared to present that rare combination of *compassion* and *competence*. (These terms do not have to be mutually exclusive.)

Due to the stress that leaders also experience in these situations, they tend to be either overly competent (rigid, unfeeling, and/or bottom-line focused) or overly compassionate (tearful, paralyzed into indecision, and/or over-promising).

Effective crisis leadership includes both: “I care *and* I am competent enough to facilitate resilience and lead our company through this challenging crisis.”

Individually and organizationally, recovery is facilitated when leaders acknowledge the personal impact on the people involved, while at the same time transitioning them to the next steps.

Those watching must witness a confident, competent person who doesn't minimize the effect of the tragedy, but rather communicates an expectation of recovery. *People tend to get better when they expect to get better.*

POST-INCIDENT CRISIS RESPONSE

One way for companies to demonstrate leadership in times of crisis is to deploy a timely, post-incident crisis response process. One element of this process involves scheduling licensed and trained mental health professionals to provide onsite or phone counseling services. These services are known as critical incident response (CIR), psychological first aid, or grief counseling. Many construction workers have prior or current experience as Veterans and/or first responders where these services may be referred to as critical incident stress debriefing (CISD).

CIR services can be utilized by employees (including supervisors and managers) during times of extreme organizational stress or uncertainty caused by unforeseen events. Based upon the criticality of the incident and the number of affected personnel, there may be times when multiple mental health counselors are needed.



The Critical Human Element

Typically, the operational flow begins with the company's safety, HR, or risk management department making an immediate referral to a CIR organization. Sometimes, the property and casualty insurer, claims third-party administrator (TPA), or the company's insurance broker makes the referral.

Employers may also be able to access this type of service through employee assistance programs (EAPs). Some union employers may even have this service available through a local or national labor union. Generally, services offered through an EAP limit the number of follow-up consultations allowed.

Follow-up services are frequently offered via phone consultation for a specified number of days post-incident. In contrast, services offered in support of workers' comp claims are typically offered on a one-time consultation basis, pending the recommendation from the responding specialist for follow-up care or treatment.

The CIR Counselor

The immediate aftermath of a tragedy is no time to search for a counselor. Whereas clinical skills are foundational, this type of work is very different from most counseling practices. The CIR organization will already have protocols in place for receiving referrals and dispatching CIR counselors to meet with the impacted employees onsite. These counselors should:

- Hold a Master's or Doctoral degree in a mental health field;
- Be certified or licensed to practice independently; and
- Have received specialized training in crisis response.

Circles of Impact

Prior to meeting with your employees, it's important for the counselor to be briefed on the tragedy and learn about the reactions of the people involved in the event. This helps the CIR counselor prearrange small groups of similarly affected individuals into "circles of impact" so they can meet for focused discussions.

For example, people who experienced risk to their own safety or witnessed horrific scenes will typically feel uncomfortable talking about it among coworkers who were not firsthand witnesses. Conversely, exposing nonwitnesses to gruesome images can secondarily traumatize them. Depending on the company's culture, another rule of thumb sometimes advises against mixing employees and those who supervise them in the same group.

Selecting from a continuum of structured group and individual interventions, the CIR counselor provides a safe, directed environment to:

- 1) Consult with leadership, which can include designing the response, addressing unique dynamics, and crafting verbal and written language;
- 2) Let people talk if they wish;
- 3) Identify and communicate "normal reactions to an abnormal event" so that people don't become overly anxious about their own reactions;
- 4) Build group support;
- 5) Outline self-help recovery strategies;
- 6) Brainstorm solutions to overcome immediate return-to-work and return-to-life obstacles;
- 7) Triage movement toward either immediate business-as-usual functioning or additional care; and
- 8) Position the company's leadership favorably.

In addition, information is often shared about access to other community resources that may be available to your employees. The CIR counselor may also assess anyone who presents a potential risk of suicide or violence.

Following the completion of the intervention, the counselor provides management with recommendations for immediate next steps. Because crisis leadership is usually outside the training, expertise, and comfort zone of many construction leaders, they often avoid the difficult conversations that could be so helpful.

Ducking these opportunities also increases the risk for such misinterpretations as: "*The company doesn't care about me or us,*" "*Only the bottom line counts,*" or "*My supervisor is afraid to tell us what's really happening.*"

ACT: A VERY IMPORTANT ACRONYM

The acronym ACT describes the method of *Acknowledging, Communicating, and Transitioning* during a traumatic event.

The following information on ACT first appeared in an article by Bob VandePol and Betty Gilmore in the August 2009 issue of *Texas Banker*. Entitled "Dealing with Angry Customers," the section on ACT is reprinted here with the permission of the Texas Bankers Association.

“The *ACT* crisis communication process is a simple process that provides leaders with a structured way to facilitate both individual and organizational recovery.

Acknowledge & Name the Incident

- Have an accurate understanding of the facts and avoid conjecture.
- Demonstrate the courage to use real language that specifically names what occurred.
- Acknowledge that the incident has impacted the team and that individuals will be impacted differently.
- Acknowledge that the incident has an impact on you. Doing so positions leadership as also impacted by the event and can align leaders with other employees. This reduces the likelihood of blame.

Communicate Pertinent Information with Both Compassion & Competence

- In these situations, leaders must ‘know their stuff’ in a caring way.

Exhibit 3: Strategies to Boost Resiliency & Counter Grief Following a Suicide or Another Critical Incident

- Drink plenty of water to stay hydrated and flush excess stress (“fight or flight”) hormones from your body.
- Avoid alcohol and sugary energy drinks.
- Stay connected and socialize. Do not isolate yourself.
- Eat – even if you’re not hungry. Staying nourished will help promote better rest.
- Try to maintain a normal sleep pattern. Take power naps as needed.
- Maintain physical activity and exercise patterns. Being physically active is good for your mental wellbeing.
- If needed, access help from your company’s EAP or behavioral health services (part of your health insurance benefits).

- Leaders may benefit from the support of a colleague, attorney, or CIR counselor to help script a response and provide coaching/feedback.
- Have a crisis response plan that includes use of CIR counselors. These experts can help design the response plan and deliver structured clinical interventions to mitigate the effects of trauma. Simply exercising this plan automatically communicates compassion and competence.

Transition to a Future Focus & Next Steps

- Triage employees back to work or to additional supportive care.
- Communicate an expectation of recovery. Those impacted must gain a vision of ‘survivor’ rather than ‘victim.’
- Identify security and/or training strategies to prevent similar incidents in the future.
- Communicate flexible and reasonable accommodations as people progress back to ‘return-to-work’ and ‘return-to-life’ normalcy.
 - Employees should not be expected to immediately function at full productivity (although some will) but will recover more quickly if assigned concrete tasks.
 - Structure and focus are helpful. Extended time away from work often inhibits recovery. ‘If you fall off a horse...get back on a pony as soon as possible.’
- Lead visibly for several days and be especially accessible to employees for support and information.
- Destigmatize and encourage utilization of the CIR counselor.”

CONCLUSION

Research indicates that humans are an amazingly resilient species – we bounce back from adversity. The application of psychological first aid facilitates a prompt and effective return to both work and life for most people.

When company leaders manage the risk of a traumatic event using this process, they not only speed individual and organizational recovery, but also increase the likelihood that affected employees will positively view management’s involvement as a crucial aspect of their successful recovery. ■



This article has been updated from its original version in the September/October 2009 issue of CFMA Building Profits.

Authors' note: The original article set the wheels in motion for the construction mental health and suicide prevention initiative by emphasizing suicide prevention as “the next frontier in safety.” In 2010, Bob VandePol was appointed as the Co-Chairman of the Workplace Task Force of the National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention. Bob appointed Cal Beyer to serve on this Task Force; in 2015, Cal succeeded Bob as Co-Chairman of the Workplace Task Force and formed the Construction Subcommittee. Cal co-authored “Mental Illness & Suicide: Break the Silence & Create a Caring Culture”⁶ with Dr. Sally Spencer-Thomas in the November/December 2015 issue of *CFMA Building Profits* that ultimately led to the formation of the Construction Industry Alliance for Suicide Prevention (CIASP).

Endnotes

1. “National Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries in 2019.” Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor. December 16, 2020. www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cfoi.pdf.
2. “National Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries in 2019.” Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor. December 16, 2020. www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cfoi.pdf.
3. “National Census of Fatal Occupational Injuries in 2019.” Bureau of Labor Statistics. U.S. Department of Labor. December 16, 2020. www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cfoi.pdf.
4. www.cfmaponline.net/cfmabp/20210304/MobilePagedArticle.action?articleId=1669322.
5. www.cfmaponline.net/cfmabp/20191112?pg=24.
6. www.cfmaponline.net/cfmabp/20151112?pg=14.

BOB VANDEPOL is the Executive Director of the Employee Assistance Program at Pine Rest Christian Mental Health Services in Grand Rapids, MI. Bob helps business leaders leverage behavioral health expertise to enhance the health and productivity of their work teams. Bob’s areas of particular interest include suicide prevention, leading mission-driven teams, and facilitating individual and organizational resilience after tragedies. As the former President of Crisis Care Network, he directed their command center in Manhattan after the 2001 terrorist attacks and led responses to many of our nation’s most notable tragedies.

Phone: 616-258-7548
E-mail: bob.vandepol@pinerest.org
Twitter: @bobvandepol

CAL BEYER, CWP, SCTPP is Vice President of Workforce Risk and Worker Wellbeing for CSDZ a Holmes Murphy Company. Cal was instrumental in the launch of the Construction Industry Alliance for Suicide Prevention. He serves on the Executive Committee for the National Action Alliance for Suicide Prevention and the Expert Advisory Group for the Center for Workplace Mental Health. A longtime author for *CFMA Building Profits*, Cal has served as a past Secretary and Executive Committee member for CFMA, and he is a recipient of the Danny B. Parrish Outstanding Leadership Award in 2016 and the Chairman’s Award in 2017. He was named one of *Engineering News-Records’* 2016 Top 25 Newsmakers for his work with suicide prevention.

Phone: 651-307-7883
E-Mail: cbeyer@csdz.com
Website: www.csdz.com

Web Resources

- 1) Bernstein Crisis Management, Inc. – Crisis Management. www.bernsteincrisismanagement.com/articles.html.
- 2) Eluna Network. Childhood Grief Resources. elunanetwork.org/resources/category/childhood-grief.
- 3) International Employee Assistance Professionals Association (EAPA) – Workplace Disaster Resources. www.eapassn.org/WPD.
- 4) Jim Lukaszewski America’s Crisis Guru®. Media Relations During a Crisis. www.e911.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/MN-Cities-Magazine-May-June-2017-Issue-Article-Media-Relations-During-a-Crisis.pdf.
- 5) National Child Traumatic Stress Network. (2006). Psychological First Aid (PFA) Field Operations Guide: 2nd Edition. www.nctsn.org/resources/psychological-first-aid-pfa-field-operations-guide-2nd-edition.
- 6) U.S. Department of Labor. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Critical Incident Stress Guide. www.osha.gov/SLTC/emergency-preparedness/guides/critical.html.
- 7) U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs. National Center for PTSD. Printed resources. www.ptsd.va.gov/publications/print/index.asp.